

Dear Mr. Collins,

I would like to express my opinion on the Hanford Solid Waste EIS.

Please send me a response to my comment.

1 The "clean-up" of leaking tanks, etc. at Hanford is a government scandal because after billions of dollars have been spent, the Columbia River — one of the nation's spectacular rivers — is still being polluted by radioactive waste. As is the whole large ~~area~~ area surrounding Hanford.

Americans like to feel pride in their "can-do" attitude — for example, landing men on the moon in less than a decade — but why have the expensive contractors paid to clean up Hanford been unable (or unwilling) to complete the job?? They are Marguerite Sutherland completely incompetent!!

Signature

Address

MARGUERITE Sutherland

5425 - 96th Ave SE
Mercer Island, WA 98040

Print name

Dear Mr. Collins,

I would like to express my opinion on the Hanford Solid Waste EIS.

Please send me a response to my comment.

Please understand I have no solution to the question of what to do with radioactive waste. I wish that had been realized before it became "accepted" for use.

1 Dealing with this as a reality, how can Hanford, an already overloaded site be the receiver of more. The Columbia River will be the
2 Ultimate Receiver and meanwhile we are subject to the danger of Hwy Transport.

Signature

Address

Lisa J. Mallant

23924 E. Lk. Kayak Dr.
Monroe, WA 98272

Print name

5/28/03 I have read the summary and
 1 I WISH TO SAY THAT the Cleanup has been
 slow and has not been effective. As a member
 of a Committee with Clark Co League of Women Voters
 2 years ago on Hanford Radioactive Waste I
 learned that Vitrification was the only really safe
 way and it was never done. - and is still
 the only way for effective, safe storage of waste.
 the U.S does not want to spend the money to
 do an effective cleanup - cut the defense budget
 and clean up the radioactive waste effectively.
 Our priorities are all wrong.

Thank you.

Sandra M Emerson

Dear Mr. Collins,

I would like to express my opinion on the Hanford Solid Waste EIS.

Please send me a response to my comment.

Despite the fact that this is
 on a farm Note from Heart of America,
 I believe the issue of importation
 of Solid waste to Hanford is one which
 is critical in light of the
 contamination already being
 experienced in the Columbia River.

1 I believe that action to prevent such
 imports is vital to the safety of
 the region.

I wish you the best of luck resolving
 this issue.
 Signature Dell Kechin
 Print name
 Address 8630 North MERCER WAY
 MERCER ISLAND, WA 98040

Dear Mr. Collins,

I would like to express my opinion on the Hanford Solid Waste EIS.

Please send me a response to my comment.

- 1 Sir, my comments must pass through you, because DOE policy makers have entrusted you to implement DOE policy. I strongly object to the ongoing delays of the vitrification plant construction project. Surely Pres. Bush wants to save tax revenue from political, benefit-free project spending so to enhance spending on political-positive goals (defense, anti-terror wars, tax give away, etc.) - I recognize that! I am
- 2 deeply concerned with storage of mobile forms of Rad. waste, because of the Hanford location at the edge of a very active seismic zone with cataclysmic potential. Vitrified waste buried at Yucca Mtn is not mobile, except were it remelted with magma,

Richard Sirginson

Signature

Richard Sirginson

Print name

Address 16426 SE 9th St.

Bellevue, WA 98008

Dear Mr. Collins,

I would like to express my opinion on the Hanford Solid Waste EIS.

Please send me a response to my comment.

- 1 Please stop the Radioactive Waste Dump at Hanford. It is already the nation's most contaminated site. It will increase the risk to human health from contaminated groundwater. It will allow contaminated flow into the Columbia River.
- 2 The shipments risk citizens in Oregon and Washington.
- 3 So far the USDOE EIS has not adequately addressed the obvious issues. Please help stop dumping of radioactive waste at Hanford.

Ethel Boyar

Signature

ETHEL BOYAR

Print name

6909 56th Ave. S.

Address

Seattle

WA 98118

P-0144

Dear Mr. Collins,

I would like to express my opinion on the Hanford Solid Waste EIS.

Please send me a response to my comment.

- 1 Please stop the Hanford National Radioactive Waste Dump. I take it as a personal insult to Washington if we use our state as a nuclear waste dump.

Nick Vienneau

Signature

Nick Vienneau

Print name

11511 19th Ave NE

Address

Seattle, WA 98125

P-0145

Dear Mr. Collins,

I would like to express my opinion on the Hanford Solid Waste EIS.

Please send me a response to my comment.

- 1 Please do all you can to stop the nuclear waste storage at Hanford. It is already the most contaminated site which has a history of leaks & environmental damage.
- 2 Shipping the waste can be damaging as well.

Pamela Bors

Signature

Pamela Bors

Print name

P.O. Box 154

Address

Custer WA

98240

Dear Mr. Collins,

I would like to express my opinion on the Hanford Solid Waste EIS.

Please send me a response to my comment.

1 It is my understanding that Hanford already has
toxic/hazardous/radioactive wastes in unlined "graves" -
and that there are plans to bury some 50,000+ truckloads
more in this manner. PLEASE DO NOT ALLOW THIS TO
HAPPEN. Hanford's already a total environmental catastrophe
+ adding to it seems extremely counterintuitive. I am also
2 concerned that the EIS (even the newest draft from April)
doesn't really clearly + directly address the concerns of
citizens + scientists. NO MORE DANGEROUS WASTE AT HANFORD!

Thanks for your time + attention

signature Amy Neymeyr

Address 2212 NE Prescott

Print name Amy Neymeyr

Portland, OR 97211

RL-690
(05/03)

DOE EXTENDS PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD ON KEY CLEANUP DOCUMENT

The U.S. Department of Energy has extended the public review and comment period on the *Revised Draft Hanford Site Solid (Radioactive and Hazardous) Waste Program Environmental Impact Statement (HSW EIS)*. The document analyzes alternatives for treatment, storage and disposal of immobilized low-activity waste from the Hanford tanks, low-level waste, mixed low-level waste, and transuranic waste.

The comment period began April 11, and will now run until June 11, 2003, an extension of 15 days.

The full document and a 40-page summary are available on the Hanford web site at www.hanford.gov/eis/eis-0286D2. For further information on this document, write or call:

Mr. Michael S. Collins
HSW EIS Document Manager
Richland Operations Office
U.S. Department of Energy, A6-38
P.O. Box 550
Richland, Washington 99352-0550
Telephone: (800) 426-4914
Fax: (509) 372-1926
Email: hsweis@rl.gov

1 The documents
do not tell
= the truth
people the truth
about our problem.
Shame on you
Carolyn

1 I request you immediately stop burying radioactive waste in unlined soil trenches. That's nuts. That's why we have such a mess, from years of sloppy practices.

2 Second, do not import any more waste to Hanford. First we must clean up the old mess! Sincerely, *Elmer Schlegel*

- 1 I urge you NOT to import any offsite waste to Hanford's already inadequate storage of radioactive waste. Clean up the mess that is already there. This is a priority. We do not need MORE river^{soil} contamination or risky transport of waste.
- 2 Please also line the inadequate burial trenches during this current year: 2003. Focus on
- 3 cleaning up and monitoring Hanford's onsite waste now and you may actually then say you've accomplished something and taken forward steps rather than back wards. Stop future import of radioactive & chemical wastes to Hanford. Stop burying radioactive waste in unlined soil trenches in 2003 and the future - *Pam Grist*